Revista Brasileira de Reumatologia

(cc) EY-NO-ND Todo o conteúdo deste periódico, exceto onde está identificado, está licenciado sob uma Licença Creative Commons. Fonte:

https://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0482-50042012000600002& Ing=pt&nrm=iso&tlng=pt&ORIGINALLANG=pt. Acesso em: 04 dez. 2020.

REFERÊNCIA

MOTA, Licia Maria Henrique da *et al*. Disability and quality-of-life are not influenced by the prevalence of autoantibodies in early rheumatoid arthritis patients - results of the Brasília Cohort. **Revista Brasileira de Reumatologia**, São Paulo, v. 52, n. 6, p. 824-829, nov./dez. 2012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/S0482-50042012000600002. Disponível em:

http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0482-50042012000600002&l ng=pt&nrm=iso. Acesso em: 04 dez. 2020.

Disability and quality-of-life are not influenced by the prevalence of autoantibodies in early rheumatoid arthritis patients – results of the Brasília Cohort

Licia Maria Henrique da Mota¹, Leopoldo Luiz dos Santos Neto², Rufus W. Burlingame³, Henri A. Ménard⁴, Ivanio Alves Pereira⁵, Jozélio Freire de Carvalho⁶, Ieda Maria Magalhães Laurindo⁷

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Although many studies have suggested that the presence of autoantibodies, such as rheumatoid factor (RF) and/or anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP) in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) are predictors of joint damage, the association with disability and quality of life questionnaires are not known. **Objectives:** To evaluate the correlation between the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) and the Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) scores with serological markers, such as RF, anti-CCP, and anti-citrullinated vimentin (anti-Sa). **Patients and methods:** Sixty five patients with early RA (ERA) from the Brasília Cohort of ERA were evaluated. Serology tests (ELISA) for RF (IgM, IgG, and IgA), anti-CCP (CCP2, CCP3, and CCP3.1), and anti-Sa were performed, with the application of the HAQ and SF-36 questionnaires in the initial evaluation. **Results:** The mean age was 45 years, with a female predominance (86%). At the initial evaluation, RF was positive in 32 individuals (49.23%), anti-CCP in 34 (52.3%), and anti-Sa in nine (13.8%). The initial HAQ score was 1.8. The SF-36 scores were as follow: role-emotional, 19.3; social functioning, 43.1; bodily pain, 25.43; general health, 57.6; mental health, 48.1; vitality, 49.5; role-physical, 4.6; and physical functioning, 24.7. The HAQ and SF-36 scores did not vary with autoantibody levels. **Conclusion:** In many patients, ERA has a major impact on physical ability and health-related quality of life. Although RF and anti-CCP tests have been related with joint destruction and worse clinical prognosis, there is no correlation with the results of questionnaires of quality of life and disability.

Keywords: rheumatoid arthritis, quality of life, rheumatoid factor, citrulline, cohort studies.

© 2012 Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA), even in its early stage, can cause a considerable impact on health-related quality of life (HRQoL).¹ HRQoL is a very broad concept, which can be simplified as the impact of health on the functional ability of an individual

and on the well-being perceived in their physical, mental, and social life.²

Several tools have been proposed to evaluate the physical capacity and quality of life in patients with RA, to detect changes in health status over time, and to assess the prognosis and the risks and benefits of a particular therapeutic intervention,³

Received on 09/06/2011. Approved on 09/05/2012. Burlingame RW works for INOVA Diagnostics, Inc, where the serological tests were performed. He had no access to the clinical data of patients prior to the test results. Carvalho JF received grants from Federico Foundation and CNPq (300665/2009-1). The other authors declare no conflict of interest. Ethics Committee: CEP-FM 028/2007.

Rheumatology Service, Hospital Universitário de Brasília, Universidade de Brasília - HUB-UnB.

1. PhD in Internal Medicine, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de Brasília – FMUnB; Collaborating Professor of Internal Medicine and of the Rheumatology Service, FMUnB

2. PhD; Associate Professor of Internal Medicine, FMUnB

5. PhD; Professor of Rheumatology, Universidade do Sul de Santa Catarina – UNISUL

Correspondence to: Licia Maria Henrique da Mota. SHLS 716/916 Bloco E salas 501-502, Centro Médico de Brasília – Asa Sul. CEP: 70390904. Brasília, DF, Brazil. E-mail: liciamhmota@yahoo.com.br

^{3.} PhD; Vice President of Research and Development, INOVA Diagnostics, Inc., San Diego, California, USA

^{4.} MD, Fellow of the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada (FRCPC); Professor of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

^{6.} PhD; Rheumatologist

^{7.} PhD; Assistant Professor, Hospital das Clínicas, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo - HC-FMUSP

including both generic tools, such as the Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (MOS 36-SF), and specific tools, such as the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ).⁵ Few studies have evaluated tools for measuring quality of life, both generic and specific, in patients with early RA (ERA).^{6,7}

Although recent evidence confirms that the presence of autoantibodies in patients with RA is associated with more aggressive disease, greater joint damage and poor prognosis, the possible association between these tools and the presence of serological markers, such as rheumatoid factor (RF), anticyclic citrullinated peptides (anti-CCP), and anti-citrullinated vimentin (anti-Sa), is not known.^{8–10}

The aim of this study was to assess the possible association between the scores of certain quality of life questionnaires (HAQ and SF-36) and some serological markers (RF, anti-CCP, and anti-Sa) in a group of RA patients with less than 12 months of symptoms at the initial evaluation.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

An incident prospective cohort study was performed (part of the Brasília Cohort Early Rheumatoid Arthritis), where consecutive patients diagnosed with ERA were assessed with regular follow-up for 36 months after diagnosis. Patients were evaluated at the Rheumatoid Arthritis Clinic of the Hospital Universitário de Brasília, Brazil. The data presented refer to the initial assessment (time of diagnosis) of 65 patients.

ERA was defined as the occurrence of joint symptoms compatible with the disease (inflammatory pattern of pain and joint swelling with or without morning stiffness or other manifestations suggestive of inflammatory joint disease, as assessed by a single observer), lasting more than six weeks and less than 12 months, regardless of fulfillment of the American College of Rheumatology classification criteria.¹¹

To analyze the impact on the quality of life related to health in patients with ERA, the questionnaires used in the study were the HAQ (a specific tool) and the SF-36 (a generic tool).

The RF study (IgG, IgM and IgA) was performed using Quanta Lite[™] RF IgA ELISA, Quanta Lite[™] RF IgG ELISA, and Quanta Lite[™] RF IgM ELISA tests (INOVA Diagnostics, CA, USA), according to the manufacturers protocol. Values greater than 15 IU/mL (RF IgM and IgA) and 20 IU/mL (RF IgG) were considered as the positive cutoff points.

Anti-CCP was studied using Quanta Lite[™] CCP IgG ELISA, Quanta Lite[™] CCP3 IgG ELISA and Quanta Lite[™] CCP3.1 IgG/IgA ELISA (INOVA Diagnostics, CA, USA) tests, according to the manufacturer's protocol. The serum

from each patient was initially diluted 1:100 in sample diluent. If the sample result was above an optical density of 2.5, it was re-tested with dilutions of 1:500 and 1:2,500, and the resulting unit value was multiplied by the dilution factor. The results were calculated and expressed in units, with < 20 U being negative, 20–39 U being weakly positive, 40–59 U being moderately positive, and \geq 60 U being strongly positive, for all tests.

The anti-Sa detection test was performed on the original plates developed by the McGill University Autoimmune Research Laboratory – myelin basic protein bovine ELISA assay.¹² The results were calculated and expressed in units, with < 20 U being negative, 21–79 U being doubtful and ≥ 80 U being positive.

To detect differences between two means, the Student t test or paired t test were used for samples exhibiting a normal distribution, considering the mean values and standard deviation. For cases where normality was rejected, the nonparametric Wilcoxon or Mann-Whitney test was applied, taking into account the value of the median and interquartile range. A significance level of 5% was considered.

Sample size calculation used a pilot sample of 10 patients. Considering a significance level of 5%, a test power of 80% and the information obtained from the pilot sample, the minimum sample size was calculated to be 40 patients.

The study was approved by the research Ethics Committee of the Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de Brasília, Brazil.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the population studied

Of the 65 patients initially diagnosed with RA (from the Brasília Cohort of Early Rheumatoid Arthritis), the mean age was 45.64 ± 14.51 years, ranging between 26–71 years of age. Female individuals (56 patients, 86.15%) and white ethnicity (31 patients, 47.69%) predominated. According to data from the clinical history, the average duration of joint symptoms at diagnosis was 32 ± 15.4 weeks, and 23 patients (35.3%) had less than 12 weeks of symptoms at initial diagnosis, indicating very early arthritis.

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the cohort were previously published.¹³

Autoantibodies

In the first evaluation of the 65 patients, 32 individuals (49.23%) were positive for at least one RF isotype, 28 patients (43.07%) for RF IgA, 19 (29.23%) for RF IgG and 32 (49.23%) for RF IgM.

Regarding the anti-CCP antibodies, 34 patients (52.30% of the total) were positive for at least one of the techniques used in the screening (CCP2, CCP3 or CCP3.1).

Using the ELISA2 (CCP2) technique, 33 patients (50.77% of the total population tested) were negative, five (7.69%) were weakly positive and 27 (41.54%) were strongly positive. When using the ELISA3 technique (CCP3), 30 patients (46.15%) were negative, five (7.69%) were weakly positive, two (3.08%) were moderately positive and 28 (43.08%) were strongly positive. Using the ELISA3.1 (CCP3.1) technique, 31 patients (47.69%) were negative, two (3.08%) were weakly positive, three (4.62%) were moderately positive and 29 (44.62%) were strongly positive.

In the initial evaluation of the 65 patients analyzed, 52 (80%) were negative for anti-Sa, four (6.15%) presented an ambiguous result and nine (13.85%) were positive.

The laboratory characteristics of patients enrolled in this Brazilian cohort were previously published.¹⁴

Quality of life and capacity evaluation questionnaires

The scores obtained from the HAQ and SF-36 quality of life questionnaires in the initial evaluation of the 65 patients are shown in Table 1. Pattern of responses to questionnaires addressing quality of life in the Brasília Cohort of Early Rheumatoid Arthritis were previously published.¹⁵

Quality of life questionnaires and its association with serum markers in ERA

Rheumatoid factor

There was no difference in the HAQ score or any of the SF-36 domains between patients who were positive or not for RF IgA, IgG, and IgM, as illustrated in Table 2.

Anti-CCP

As illustrated in Tables 3, 4, and 5, there was no difference in the HAQ score and any of the SF-36 domains between patients who were positive or negative for anti-CCP using the

Table 1

| P | Patients with R | A diagnosis a | according to | HAQ and | SF-36 |
|---|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---------|-------|
| q | uestionnaire s | cores (initial | evaluation, 1 | n = 65) | |

| | / |
|--|---|
| Questionnaire | Score* |
| HAQ | 1.87 (0.81) |
| SF-36 (domains) Role-emotional (RE) Social functioning (SF) Bodily pain (BP) General health (GH) Mental health (MH) Vitality (VT) Role-physical (RP) Physical functioning (PE) | 19.37 (37.04) 43.16 (34.78) 25.43 (19.76) 57.68 (26.30) 48.18 (15.89) 49.59 (15.44) 4.68 (15.98) 24.76 (27.05) |
| Thysical functioning (TT) | 21.70 (27.00) |

*Mean (SD).

Table 2

| HAC | and SF-36 o | uestionnaire scores f | or ERA patients | positive or nega | tive for IgG. | IgA. | and IgM RF | at baseline evaluation |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|------|------------|------------------------|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | 62 2 | | |

| Questionneire | IgA RF* | | Mann- | IgC | IgG RF* | | D | IgM RF* | | Mann- | D | |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|------|------------------|------------------|---------|---------|------------------|------------------|---------|------|
| Questionnaire | Negative | Positive | Whitney | r | Negative | Positive | Whitney | r | Negative | Positive | Whitney | r |
| HAQ | 1.75 (1.50) | 2.00 (1.13) | 0.68 | 0.40 | 2.13 (1.25) | 1.88 (1.14) | 0.45 | 0.50 | 2.38 (1.25) | 1.75 (1.13) | 1.40 | 0.23 |
| SE-36 (domains) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Role-emotional | 0.00 (33.33) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.01 | 0.99 | 0.00 (33.33) | 0.00 | 0.78 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 (33,33) | 0.01 | 0.93 |
| Social functioning | 25.00 (50.00) | 37.50 (62.50) | 0.18 | 0.66 | 25.00 (50.00) | 37.50 (37.50) | 0.04 | 0.83 | 25.00 (37.5) | 37.5 (62.5) | 2.47 | 0.11 |
| Bodily pain | 22.00 (31.00) | 22.00 (20.00) | 0.02 | 0.96 | 22.00 (31.00) | 22.00 (29.00) | 0.05 | 0.94 | 22.00 (22.00) | 22.00 (29.00) | 0.26 | 0.60 |
| General health | 67.00 (45.00) | 52.00 (42.00) | 1.67 | 0.19 | 65.00 (50.00) | 60.00 (40.00) | 0.78 | 0.37 | 67.00 (45.00) | 55.00 (45.00) | 0.54 | 0.46 |
| Mental health | 52.00 (24.00) | 52.00 (16.00) | 0.01 | 0.90 | 52.00 (20.00) | 52.00 (16.00) | 0.27 | 0.59 | 52.00 (24.00) | 52.00 (20.00) | 0.42 | 0.51 |
| Vitality | 50.00 (20.00) | 50.00 (10.00) | 0.93 | 0.33 | 50.00 (20.00) | 55.00 (10.00) | 2.48 | 0.11 | 50.00 (15.00) | 50.00 (10.00) | 2.13 | 0.14 |
| Role-physical | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.10 | 0.74 | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.12 | 0.72 |
| Physical functioning | 20.00 (40.00) | 10.00 (45.00) | 0.42 | 0.51 | 15.00 (40.00) | 10.00 (40.00) | 0.05 | 0.82 | 5.00 (40.00) | 25.00 (40.00) | 0.91 | 0.33 |

* Median (interquartile range).

Table 3

HAQ and SF-36 questionnaire scores for ERA patients positive or negative for anti-CCP2 at baseline evaluation

| CCP2 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------|--|--|--|
| Questionnaire | Media (stan | Paired t test | Р | | | | |
| | Negative | Positive | | | | | |
| HAQ | 1.99 (0.81) | 1.81 (0.78) | 0.92 | 0.36 | | | |
| Questionnaire | C Median (inte | Mann- Whitney test | Р | | | | |
| | Negative | Positive | winniey test | | | | |
| SF-36 (domains) | | | | | | | |
| Role-emotional | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (33.33) | 1.05 | 0.30 | | | |
| Social functioning | 25.00 (37.50) | 43.75 (62.50) | 3.17 | 0.07 | | | |
| Bodily pain | 22.00 (26.00) | 26.50 (24.50) | 3.68 | 0.05 | | | |
| General health | 62.50 (44.50) | 52.00 (14.00) | 0.04 | 0.82 | | | |
| Mental health | 52.00 (24.00) | 50.12 (14.58) | 0.29 | 0.58 | | | |
| Vitality | 50.00 (15.50) | 50.00 (17.50) | 0.05 | 0.80 | | | |
| Role-physical | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.10 | 0.74 | | | |
| Physical functioning | 5.00 (40.00) | 25.00 (47.50) | 2.27 | 0.13 | | | |

Table 4

HAQ and SF-36 questionnaire scores for ERA patients positive or negative for anti-CCP3 at baseline evaluation

| Questionnaire | C Median (inte | Mann- Whitney | Р | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Negative | Positive | test | |
| HAQ | 2.00 (1.50) | 1.88 (1.38) | 0.01 | 0.91 |
| SF-36 (domains) Role-emotional Social functioning Bodily pain Mental health Vitality Role-physical Physical functioning | 0.00 (0.00) 25.00 (37.50) 21.50 (21.00) 52.00 (20.00) 50.00 (20.00) 0.00 (0.00) 5.00 (40.00) | 0.00 (33.33) 50.00 (62.50) 26.50 (29.00) 52.00 (16.00) 50.00 (15.00) 0.00 (0.00) 22.50 (45.00) | 1.86 4.67 3.17 1.30 0.02 0.02 1.48 | 0.17 0.02 0.07 0.25 0.87 0.88 0.22 |
| SF-36 (domain) | C Med Negative | CCP3 lia (SD) Positive | Paired t -test | Р |
| General health | 59.27 (25.41) | 56.29 (27.38) | 0.45 | 0.65 |

CCP2 technique. Regarding CCP3 and CCP3.1, there was a statistically significant difference for the social functioning domain, which was significantly better for those with positive serology for anti-CCP by these two techniques (P = 0.02 for both).

Anti-Sa

As illustrated in Table 6, there was no difference in the HAQ score and any of the SF-36 domains between patients who were positive or negative for anti-Sa.

Table 5

HAQ and SF-36 questionnaire scores for ERA patients positive or negative for anti-CCP3.1 at baseline evaluation

| Questionnaire | CC Median (inter | Mann- Whitney test | Р | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Negative | Positive | winniey test | |
| HAQ | 2.00 (1.50) | 1.88 (1.38) | 0.01 | 0.91 |
| SF-36 (domains) Role-emotional Social functioning Mental health Vitality Role-physical Physical functioning | 0.00 (0.00) 25.00 (37.50) 52.00 (20.00) 50.00 (16.00) 0.00 (0.00) 5.00 (40.00) | 0.00 (33.33) 50.00 (62.50) 52.00 (16.00) 50.00 (20.00) 0.00 (0.00) 22.5 (45.00) | 0.80 4.67 0.83 0.02 0.02 1.48 | 0.37 0.02 0.36 0.87 0.88 0.22 |
| SF-36 (domain) | CC Media (stand Negative | P3.1 lard deviation) Positive | Paired <i>t</i> -test | Р |
| Bodily pain | 21.50 (18.09) | 28.91 (20.77) | -1.51 | 0.13 |
| General health | 57.37 (25.78) | 57.97 (27.14) | -0.09 | 0.92 |

Table 6

HAQ and SF-36 questionnaire scores for ERA patients positive or negative for anti-Sa at baseline evaluation

| Questionnaire | An Median (inter | Mann- Whitney test | Р | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Negative | Positive | whitney test | | |
| HAQ | 1.88 (1.63) | 2.13 (0.50) | 0.18 | 0.66 | |
| SF-36 (domains) Role-emotional Social functioning Bodily pain General health Mental health Vitality Role-physical | 17.12 (35.58) 25.00 (50.00) 22.00 (31.00) 65.00 (47.00) 52.00 (20.00) 50.00 (20.00) 0.00 (0.00) | 28.20 (42.70) 37.50 (50.00) 31.00 (20.00) 52.00 (45.00) 48.00 (12.00) 50.00 (16.00) 0.00 (0.00) | 0.96 0.10 1.60 0.33 0.10 0.01 0.21 | 0.33 0.74 0.20 0.56 0.74 0.89 0.64 | |
| Physical functioning | 15.00 (45.00) | 10.00 (40.00) | 0.16 | 0.68 | |

DISCUSSION

Our study confirms a big impact on the quality of life and physical capacity of patients with ERA. The previously published cohorts demonstrate wide variation in the HAQ average at the initial evaluation,¹⁶ but the mean scores found in most of them were around 1 (0.8–1.3),^{16–20} which is lower than that found in our population. With regards to the SF-36 questionnaire, the low scores of the domains at initial evaluation, particularly in the domains of 'role-limitation due to physical and emotional' quality of life, demonstrate that, in the patients of our cohort, these were the quality of life aspects that were most affected in the initial evaluation. The domains 'mental health' and 'vitality' were the least impaired at the time of diagnosis. In our cohort, there was no difference in the HAQ scores or any SF-36 domain between patients positive or negative for RF IgA, IgG, IgM RF, anti-CCP-2, or anti-Sa. For CCP3 and CCP3.1, there was statistically significant difference only for the social functioning domain, which was significantly better for those with positive serology for anti-CCP antibodies using third generation techniques. The analysis of our data suggests that disability and quality of life assessment tools, such as the HAQ and the SF-36, may reflect the damage caused by RA activity but behave independently of autoantibodies. The isolated association of anti-CCP, by the techniques CCP3 and CCP3.1, with the social functioning domain, which evaluates the integration of individuals in social activities, appears to have been by chance.

Silva et al.²¹ published that the HAQ score did not correlate with RA activity, duration of the disease and positive RF (RF IgM), although it was significantly associated with positive anti-CCP (CCP 2).

In the Swedish STRIP study,²² it was observed that the evolution of the HAQ score was not different between patients serologically positive or negative for anti-CCP, although the HAQ had correlated with activity markers, such as ESR, CRP, and DAS-28.

Evaluating 52 patients with an average of three years of RA diagnosis, Mohd Shahrir et al.²³ reported that there was a correlation between the HAQ score and anti-CCP levels (CCP2).

There are no studies on the correlation of the SF-36 questionnaire and the occurrence of autoantibodies in RA. Furthermore, the possible association between the HAQ and the SF-36 scores and the presence of anti-Sa has not been evaluated.

CONCLUSIONS

The population evaluated in our cohort (Brasília Cohort of Early Rheumatoid Arthritis) demonstrated a large impact on the quality of life due to RA, as measured by the HAQ and SF-36 questionnaires at diagnosis, where this was more established than in other cohorts previously evaluated.

There was no difference in the HAQ score and any of the SF-36 domains between patients positive or negative for RF IgA, IgG, IgM, and anti-CCP or anti-Sa. Tools for assessing disability and quality of life, such as the HAQ and SF-36, may reflect the damage caused by the activity of RA but behave independently of autoantibody levels.

REFERENCES

REFERÊNCIAS

- Kosinski M, Kujawski SC, Martin R, Wanke LA, Buatti MC, Ware JE Jr *et al*. Health-related quality of life in early rheumatoid arthritis: impact of disease and treatment response. Am J Manag Care 2002; 8(3):231–40.
- 2. Ward MM. Outcome measurement: health status and quality of life. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2004; 16(2):96–101.
- 3. Walker JG, Littlejohn GO. Measuring quality of life in rheumatic conditions. Clin Rheumatol 2007; 26(5):671–3.
- Ware JE Jr, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care 1992; 30(6):473–83.
- Pincus T, Summey JA, Soraci SA Jr, Wallston KA, Hummon NP. Assessment of patient satisfaction in activities of daily living using a modified Stanford Health Assessment Questionnaire. Arthritis Rheum 1983; 26(11):1346–53.
- Núñez M, Núñez E, Yoldi C, Quintó L, Hernández MV, Muñoz-Gómez J. Health-related quality of life in rheumatoid arthritis: therapeutic education plus pharmacological treatment versus pharmacological treatment only. Rheumatol Int 2006; 26(8):752–7.
- Kosinski M, Kujawski SC, Martin R, Wanke LA, Beratti MC, Ware JE *et al.* Health-related quality of life in early rheumatoid arthritis: impact of disease and treatment response. Am J Manag Care 2002; 8(3):231–40.
- Kuru O, Bilgici A, Birinci A, Ulusoy H, Durupinar B. Prognostic value of anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies and rheumatoid factor in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Bratisl Lek Listy 2009; 110(10):650–4.
- Syversen SW, Goll GL, van der Heijde D, Landewé R, Lie BA, Odegård S *et al.* Prediction of radiographic progression in rheumatoid arthritis and the role of antibodies against mutated citrullinated vimentin: results from a 10-year prospective study. Ann Rheum Dis 2010; 69(2):345–51.
- Wagner E, Ammer K, Kolarz G, Krajnc I, Palkonyai E, Scherak O et al. Predicting factors for severity of rheumatoid arthritis: a prospective multicenter cohort study of 172 pacientes over 3 years. Rheumatol Int 2007; 27(11):1041–8.
- Arnett FC, Edworthy SM, Bloch DA, McShane DJ, Fries JF, Cooper NS *et al.* The American Rheumatism Association 1987 revised criteria for the classification of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1988; 31(3):315–24.
- Boire G, Cossette P, de Brum-Fernandes AJ, Liang P, Niyonsenga T, Zhou ZJ *et al.* Anti-Sa antibodies and antibodies against cyclic citrullinated peptide are not equivalent as predictors of severe outcomes in patients with recent-onset polyarthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 2005; 7:R592–603.

- Mota LM, Laurindo IM, dos Santos Neto LL. Demographic and clinical characteristics of a cohort of pacientes with early rheumatoid arthritis. Rev Bras Reumatol 2010; 50(3):235–48.
- Mota LM, dos Santos Neto LL, Burlingame R, Ménard HA, Laurindo IM. Laboratory characteristics of a cohort of patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. Rev Bras Reumatol 2010; 50(4):375–88.
- 15. Mota LM, Laurindo IM, dos Santos Neto LL. Prospective evaluation of the quality of life in a cohort of pacientes with early rheumatoid arthritis. Rev Bras Reumatol 2010; 50(3):249–61.
- 16. Fries JF. New instruments for assessing disability: not quite ready for prime time. Arthritis Rheum 2004; 50:3064–7.
- Young A, Dixey J, Cox N, Davies P, Emery P, Gallivan S. How does functional disability in early rheumatoid arthritis (AR) affect pacientes and their lives? Results of 5 years of follow-up in 732 pacientes from the Early RA Study (ERAS). Rheumatology (Oxford) 2000; 39:603–11.
- Wiles NJ, Dunn G, Barrett EM, Harrison BJ, Silman AJ, Symmons DP. One year follow up variables predict disability 5 years after presentation with inflammatory polyarthritis with greater accuracy than at baseline. J Rheumatol 2000; 27(10):2360–6.
- Eberhardt KB, Fex E. Functional impairment and disability in early rheumatoid arthritis – development over 5 years. J Rheumatol 1995; 22(6):1037–42.

- Combe B, Cantagrel A, Goupille P, Bozonnat MC, Sibilia J, Eliaou JF *et al*. Predictive factors of 5-year health assessment questionnaire disability in early rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2003; 30(11):2344–9.
- Silva AF, Matos AN, Lima AMS, Lima EF, Correa MICC, Carvalho EM. Associação do anticorpo anticitrulina e gravidade da artrite reumatoide. Rev Bras Reumatol 2006; 46:165–73.
- 22. Kastbom A, Strandbert G, Lindroos A, Skogh T. Anti-CCP antibody test predicts the disease course during 3 years in early rheumatoid arthritis (the Swedish TIRA project). Ann Rheum Dis 2004; 63(9):1085–9.
- 23. Shahrir MS, Eishwary M, Shahid S, Hussein H. Correlation between CCP levels and Health Assessment Questionnaire in rheumatoid arthritis: a single center analysis. Internet J Rheumatol 2007; 4:1. Available from: http://www.ispub.com/journal/ the_internet_journal_of_rheumatology/volume_5_number_2_39/ article/correlation_between_ccp_levels_and_health_assessment_ questionnaire_in_rheumatoid_arthritis_a_single_center_analysis. html]. [Acessed on 26 may 2009].